HADRON-HADRON INTERACTIONS WITH LATTICE QCD

> CHIRAL DYNAMICS 2009 BERN, SWITZERLAND

André Walker-Loud

College of William and Mary, Virginia, USA

PREVIEW

NUMERICAL REDSULTS: NPLQCD

2-Hadron Interactions on the Lattice 2-meson interactions: precision predictions • $\mathcal{I} = 2 \pi \pi$ Scattering • n > 3 mesons and 3-body interactions • 3-baryon interactions: $\Xi^0\Xi^0n$ nnpConclusions

PREVIEW NUMERICAL REDSULTS: NPLQCD

Silas Beane	University of NewHampshire
Will Detmold	College of William and Mary
Huey-Wen Lin	University of Washington
Tom Luu	LLNL
Kostas Orginos	College of William and Mary
Assumpta Parreno	University of Barcelona
Martin Savage	University of Washington
Aaron Torok	Univ. of NewHampshire

Indiana (Steve Gotlieb)

ANALYTIC WORK

Jiunn-Wei Chen	National Taiwan University
Donal O'Connell	IAS: Princeton
Paulo Bedague	University of Maryland

Introduction

Why study nuclear interactions with lattice QCD?

much intrinsically interesting nuclear physics which is difficult/ impossible to access experimentally



for example, the nuclear equation of state in neutron stars this requires an understanding of hyperon-nucleon interactions

we would like to connect our understanding of nuclear physics to the fundamental theory of QCD

2-Hadron Scattering on the Lattice

Minkowski vs Euclidean

In Minkowski space, scattering is performed by measuring the scattering phase shift of asymptotically separated, on-shell particles

 In Euclidean space: cuts moved off real axis particles do not go on shell

 except at kinematic thresholds, can not reconstruct the Minkowski S-matrix elements

In FINITE Euclidean volume, particles can never escape eachother the finite volume interaction energy can be related to the infinite volume scattering phase shift - Lüscher's Method

2-Hadron Scattering on the Lattice

two particle energy levels in a box:

for two identical particles:

$$\Delta E_2 = 2\sqrt{p^2 + m^2} - 2m \qquad \text{for non-interacting particles} \quad \vec{p} = \frac{2\pi\vec{n}}{L}$$

$$p \cot \delta = \frac{1}{\pi L} S\left(\frac{p^2 L^2}{16\pi^2}\right) \qquad S(\eta) = \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \sum_{n < \Lambda} \frac{1}{n^2 - \eta} - 4\pi\Lambda$$

$$L/r >> 1 \qquad r \sim m_{\pi}^{-1}$$

$$m_{\pi}L > 4$$

for low momenta

$$p\cot\delta = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{2}rp^2 + \dots$$

the shift in energy due to interactions allows one to calculate the infinite volume scattering parameters (up to non-universal exponentially suppressed volume corrections).

2-Mesons

Why calculate 2-meson interactions with lattice QCD?

Scattering is cool

In particular, the interaction of two pseudo-Goldstone mesons is highly constrained by chiral dynamics this allows for good check of the method

but more than that - can make precision predictions of meson-meson scattering parameters

for $\mathcal{I} = 2 \ \pi \pi$ scattering, can make 1% predictions

clean system to study SU(2) chiral dynamics SU(3) symmetry/breaking in the meson sector

Coarse MILC $(b \sim 0.125 \text{ fm})$	Dimensions	L	m_{π}	m_K	$N_{cfg} \times N_{source}$
	$L \times T \times L_5$	[fm]	[MeV]	[MeV]	
2064f21b676m007m050	$20^3 \times 32 \times 16$	2.5	290	580	$468 \times 16 = 7776$
2064f21b676m010m050	$20^3 \times 32 \times 16$	2.5	350	595	$658 \times 20 = 13160$
2064f21b679m020m050	$20^3 \times 32 \times 16$	2.5	490	640	$486 \times 24 = 11664$
2064f21b681m030m050	$20^3 \times 32 \times 16$	2.5	590	675	$564 \times 8 = 4512$

2-Mesons $\mathcal{I} = 2 \pi \pi$ N $\stackrel{\circ}{\longrightarrow}$ Universal band $\stackrel{\circ}{\longleftarrow}$ tree (1966), one loop (1983), two loops (1996) Prediction (χ PT + dispersion theory, 2001) $\stackrel{\circ}{\longleftarrow}$ tree variance for eacher radius (1996) $\stackrel{\circ}{\longrightarrow}$ tree variance for eacher r



NPLQCD PRD 77 (2008)

 $m_{\pi}a_{\pi\pi}^{I=2} = -0.04330 \pm 0.00042 \qquad 2007$ $m_{\pi}a_{\pi\pi}^{I=2}(LO) = -0.04438$

TABLE I: Calculated $I = 2 \pi \pi$ scattering lengths and details of all uncertainties.				
Quantity	$m_l = 0.007$	$m_l = 0.010$	$m_l = 0.020$	$m_l = 0.030$
m_π/f_π	1.990(11)(14)	2.323(6)(3)	3.059(5)(10)	3.476(10)(6)
$m_{\pi}a_{\pi\pi}^{I=2}$	-0.1458(78)(25)(14)	-0.2061(49)(17)(20)	-0.3540(68)(89)(16)	-0.465(14)(06)(05)
Δ_{MA}	0.0033(3)	0.0030(4)	0.0023(10)	0.0018(16)
Δ_{FV}	± 0.0055	± 0.0022	± 0.003	± 0.0001
$\Delta_{m_{res}}$	± 0.0032	± 0.0035	± 0.0036	± 0.0032
$m_{\pi}a_{\pi\pi}^{I=2} m_{\pi}r_{\pi\pi}^{I=2} \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m_{\pi}^2}$	0.0004	0.0007	0.0014	0.0018

Can address all sources of systematic error (except for rooting of staggered action)

- Mixed Action Extrapolation formula (lattice spacing corrections)
- Chen, O'Connell, AWL PRD 75, 2007 **Exponential Corrections to** Lüscher's formula (finite volume corrections) Bedague, Sato, AWL PRD 73, 2006
- Residual chiral symmetry breaking from the domain-wall action
- O Effective Range corrections

 $m_{\pi}a_{\pi\pi}^{I=2} = -0.04330 \pm 0.00042$ Beane et al (NPLQCD) PRD 77 (2008)

For pion mass and decay constant, it is found that one-loop formulae get correct order of magnitude FV corrections, but two-loop formulae are needed for accurate corrections. G. Colangelo, S. Durr, C. Haefeli NPB 721 (2005)

Why are the lattice spacing corrections so small? The good chiral properties of the domain-wall valence quarks have a dramatic effect on the extrapolation formula to one-loop.

$$m_{\pi}a_{\pi\pi}^{I=2} = -\frac{m_{uu}^{2}}{8\pi f^{2}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{m_{uu}^{2}}{(4\pi f)^{2}} \left[4\ln\left(\frac{m_{uu}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right) + 4\frac{\tilde{m}_{ju}^{2}}{m_{uu}^{2}}\ln\left(\frac{\tilde{m}_{ju}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right) + l_{\pi\pi}'(\mu) - \frac{\tilde{\Delta}_{PQ}^{2}}{m_{uu}^{2}} \left[\ln\left(\frac{m_{uu}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right)\right] - \frac{\tilde{\Delta}_{PQ}^{4}}{6m_{uu}^{4}} \right] + \frac{\tilde{\Delta}_{PQ}^{2}}{(4\pi f)^{2}}l_{PQ}'(\mu) + \frac{b^{2}}{(4\pi f)^{2}}l_{b^{2}}'(\mu) \right\}$$

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{PQ}^2 = m_{jj}^2 + \Delta_{sea}(b) - m_{uu}^2$$
$$\tilde{m}_{ju}^2 = B_0(m_u + m_j) + b^2 \Delta_{Mix}$$

Every sickness expected is apparent: partial quenching $(\tilde{\Delta}_{PQ})$ lattice discretization effects (b)

lattice-physical parameters (mass and decay constant measured directly from correlators) the scattering length is given by

$$m_{\pi}a_{\pi\pi}^{I=2} = -\frac{m_{\pi}^2}{8\pi f_{\pi}^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{m_{\pi}^2}{(4\pi f_{\pi})^2} \left[3\ln\left(\frac{m_{\pi}^2}{\mu^2}\right) - 1 - l_{\pi\pi}^{I=2}(\mu) \right] \right\}$$

lattice-physical parameters (mass and decay constant measured directly from correlators) the scattering length is given by

$$m_{\pi}a_{\pi\pi}^{I=2} = -\frac{m_{\pi}^2}{8\pi f_{\pi}^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{m_{\pi}^2}{(4\pi f_{\pi})^2} \left[3\ln\left(\frac{m_{\pi}^2}{\mu^2}\right) - 1 - l_{\pi\pi}^{I=2}(\mu) \right] - \frac{m_{\pi}^2}{(4\pi f_{\pi})^2} \frac{\tilde{\Delta}_{PQ}^4}{6m_{\pi}^4} \right\}$$

The explicit dependence on the lattice spacing has exactly cancelled - up to a calculable effect from the hairpin interactions!!!

This is independent of the type of sea-quarks

Chen, O'Connell, Van de Water, AWL PRD 73 (2006)

Chen, O'Connell, AWL PRD 75 (2007)

Chen, O'Connell, AWL JHEP 0904 (2009)

lattice-physical parameters (mass and decay constant measured directly from correlators) the scattering length is given by

$$m_{\pi}a_{\pi\pi}^{I=2} = -\frac{m_{\pi}^2}{8\pi f_{\pi}^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{m_{\pi}^2}{(4\pi f_{\pi})^2} \left[3\ln\left(\frac{m_{\pi}^2}{\mu^2}\right) - 1 - l_{\pi\pi}^{I=2}(\mu) \right] - \frac{m_{\pi}^2}{(4\pi f_{\pi})^2} \frac{\tilde{\Delta}_{PQ}^4}{6m_{\pi}^4} \right\}$$

The explicit dependence on the lattice spacing has exactly cancelled - up to a calculable effect from the hairpin interactions!!!

SU(3): chiral symmetry dictates that any strange-quark mass dependence at NLO must be of the form $m_{\pi}^2 m_K^2$



there can not be any (local) strange-quark mass dependence in the on-shell renormalized scattering length in SU(3)

all strange (sea) quark mass dependence is renormalized in the on-shell renormalized values of m_{π} and f_{π}

For more details on mixed action EFT - see talk by Jack Laiho, Thur, 15:35

(exponential) finite volume corrections

$$\begin{split} \Delta(p \cot \delta_{\pi\pi}^{I=2}) &= 8\pi \, m_{\pi} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial m_{\pi}^{2}} \, i \Delta \mathcal{I}(m_{\pi}) + 2i \Delta \mathcal{J}_{exp}(4m_{\pi}^{2}) \right] \\ \Delta(ma_{\pi\pi}^{I=2}) &= -\frac{m_{\pi}^{2}}{8\pi f_{\pi}^{2}} \left[\frac{m_{\pi}^{2}}{f_{\pi}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial m_{\pi}^{2}} \, i \Delta \mathcal{I}(m_{\pi}) + \frac{2m_{\pi}^{2}}{f_{\pi}^{2}} \, i \Delta \mathcal{J}_{exp}(4m_{\pi}^{2}) \right] \\ i \Delta \mathcal{I}(m) &= \int \frac{dq_{0}}{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{L^{3}} \sum_{\vec{q} = \frac{2\pi\vec{n}}{L}} - \int \frac{d^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}} \right] \frac{i}{q^{2} - m^{2}} \\ &= \frac{m}{4\pi^{2}L} \sum_{\vec{n} \neq 0} \frac{1}{|\vec{n}|} K_{1}(|\vec{n}|mL). \\ i \Delta \mathcal{J}_{exp}(4m^{2}) &= \frac{1}{16\pi^{2}} \frac{1}{L\sqrt{m^{2} + p^{2}}} \sum_{\vec{n} \neq 0} \frac{1}{|\vec{n}|} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \frac{y \, \mathrm{Im} e^{i2\pi y |\vec{n}|}}{\sqrt{y^{2} + \frac{m^{2}L^{2}}{4\pi^{2}}} + \sqrt{\frac{p^{2}L^{2}}{4\pi^{2}} + \frac{m^{2}L^{2}}{4\pi^{2}}} \right) \\ &\simeq -\frac{1}{16\pi} \sum_{\vec{n} \neq 0} \left[K_{0}(|\vec{n}|\,mL) \bar{L}_{-1}(|\vec{n}|\,mL) + K_{1}(|\vec{n}|\,mL) \bar{L}_{0}(|\vec{n}|\,mL) - \frac{1}{|\vec{n}|\,mL} \right], \quad (A2) \end{split}$$

domain-wall action at finite 5th dimension has residual chiral symmetry breaking

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}} = 2B_0 \bar{L}_4 \operatorname{str} \left(\partial_{\mu} \Sigma \partial^{\mu} \Sigma^{\dagger} \right) \operatorname{str} \left(m_{res} \Sigma^{\dagger} + \Sigma m_{res}^{\dagger} \right) \\
+ 8B_0^2 \bar{L}_6 \operatorname{str} \left(m_q \Sigma^{\dagger} + \Sigma m_q^{\dagger} \right) \operatorname{str} \left(m_{res} \Sigma^{\dagger} + \Sigma m_{res}^{\dagger} \right) + \dots$$

naive dimensional analysis

A.V.Manohar and H.Georgi Nucl. Phys. B (1984)

$$\Delta_{m_{res}}(m_{\pi}a_{\pi\pi}^{I=2}) = \frac{8\pi m_{\pi}^4}{(4\pi f_{\pi})^4} \frac{m_{res}}{m_l}$$

TABLE I: Calculated $I = 2 \pi \pi$ scattering lengths and details of all uncertainties.

Quantity	$m_l = 0.007$	$m_l = 0.010$	$m_l = 0.020$	$m_l = 0.030$
m_{π}/f_{π}	1.990(11)(14)	2.323(6)(3)	3.059(5)(10)	3.476(10)(6)
$m_{\pi}a_{\pi\pi}^{I=2}$	-0.1458(78)(25)(14)	-0.2061(49)(17)(20)	-0.3540(68)(89)(16)	-0.465(14)(06)(05)
Δ_{MA}	0.0033(3)	0.0030(4)	0.0023(10)	0.0018(16)
Δ_{FV}	± 0.0055	± 0.0022	± 0.003	± 0.0001
$\Delta_{m_{res}}$	± 0.0032	± 0.0035	± 0.0036	± 0.0032
$m_{\pi}a_{\pi\pi}^{I=2} m_{\pi}r_{\pi\pi}^{I=2} \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m_{\pi}^2}$	0.0004	0.0007	0.0014	0.0018

 m_{res} is one of the dominant uncertainties in our calculation!?

2-Mesons $\mathcal{I} = 2 \pi \pi$ NPLQCD PRD 77 (2008) Universal band tree (1966), one loop (1983), two loops (1996) Prediction (χ PT + dispersion theory, 2001) \bar{l}_{A} from low energy theorem for scalar radius (2001) \bar{l}_3 and \bar{l}_4 from MILC (2004, 2006) -0.02 -0.1 NPLQCD (2005) a_0^2 \bar{l}_3 from Del Debbio et al. (2006) \bar{l}_3 and \bar{l}_4 from ETM (2007) $a_{\pi+\pi+}$ -0.2 **DIRAC (2005)** -0.03 NA48 K3π (2005) E865 Ke4 (2003), isospin breaking accounted for NA48 Ke4 (preliminary) isospin breaking accounted for - MA χ - PT (One Loop) $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{s}^{-0.3}}$ NPLQCD (2007) $- \chi - PT$ (Tree Level) -0.04 -0.04 • CP-PACS (2004) $(n_f = 2)$ -0.4 • E 865 (2003) • NPLQCD -0.05 -0.05 -0.5 2 3 0.16 0.18 0.2 0.22 0.24 m_{π}/f_{π} a_0^0

 $m_{\pi}a_{\pi\pi}^{I=2} = -0.04330 \pm 0.00042 \quad 2007$ $m_{\pi}a_{\pi\pi}^{I=2}(LO) = -0.04438$

SU(3) and SU(2) chiral extrapolation analysis in complete agreement

2-Mesons
$$\mathcal{I}=2~\pi\pi$$

$$m_{\pi}a_{\pi\pi}^{I=2} = -\frac{m_{\pi}^2}{8\pi f_{\pi}^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{m_{\pi}^2}{(4\pi f_{\pi})^2} \left[3\ln\left(\frac{m_{\pi}^2}{\mu^2}\right) - 1 - l_{\pi\pi}^{I=2}(\mu) \right] \right\}$$

$$l_{\pi\pi}^{I=2} = 5.7 \pm 1.3$$
 NPLQCD: PRD 77 (2007)
 $l_{\pi\pi}^{I=2} = 4(4\pi)^2 \left(4l_1^r + 4l_2^r + l_3^r - l_4^r\right)$

$$l_{i}^{r}(\mu) = \frac{\gamma_{i}}{32\pi^{2}} \left[\bar{l}_{i} + \ln\left(\frac{(m_{\pi}^{phys})^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right) \right] \qquad \gamma_{1} = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \gamma_{2} = \frac{2}{3}, \quad \gamma_{3} = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \gamma_{4} = 2$$

$$\downarrow l_{\pi\pi}^{I=2}(\mu) = \frac{8}{3}\bar{l}_{1} + \frac{16}{3}\bar{l}_{2} - \bar{l}_{3} - 4\bar{l}_{4} + 3\ln\left(\frac{(m_{\pi}^{phys})^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right)$$

combined phenomenology and lattice QCD determination of \bar{l}_i $-1.0 \le \bar{l}_1 \le 0.2$ $4.2 \le \bar{l}_2 \le 4.4$ $3.1 \le \bar{l}_3 \le 3.5$ $4.0 \le \bar{l}_4 \le 4.2$ $0.6 \le l_{\pi\pi}^{I=2}(f_{\pi}) \le 3.8$ (5.7 ± 1.3)

Coarse MILC $(b \sim 0.125 \text{ fm})$	Dimensions	L	m_{π}	m_K	$N_{cfg} \times N_{source}$
	$L \times T \times L_5$	[fm]	[MeV]	[MeV]	
2064f21b676m007m050	$20^3 \times 32 \times 16$	2.5	290	580	$468 \times 16 = 7776$
2064f21b676m010m050	$20^3 \times 32 \times 16$	2.5	350	595	$658 \times 20 = 13160$
2064f21b679m020m050	$20^3 \times 32 \times 16$	2.5	490	640	$486 \times 24 = 11664$
2064f21b681m030m050	$20^3 \times 32 \times 16$	2.5	590	675	$564 \times 8 = 4512$

Coarse MILC $(b \sim 0.125 \text{ fm})$	Dimensions $L \times T \times L_5$	L $[fm]$	$rac{m_{\pi}}{[\mathrm{MeV}]}$	m_K [MeV]	$N_{cfg} \times N_{source}$
2064f21b676m007m050	$\frac{20^3 \times 123}{20^3 \times 32 \times 16}$	2.5	290	580	$1267 \times 24 = 30408$
2064f21b676m010m050	$20^3 \times 32 \times 16$	2.5	350	595	$768 \times 24 = 18432$
2064f21b679m020m050	$20^3 \times 32 \times 16$	2.5	490	640	$486 \times 24 = 11664$
2064f21b681m030m050	$20^3 \times 32 \times 16$	2.5	590	675	$564 \times 24 = 13536$
Fine MILC $(b \sim 0.09 \text{ fm})$					
4096f2b7045m0062m031	$40^3 \times 96 \times 40$	2.5	230	539	$109 \times 1 = 109$
4096f2b7045m0062m031	$40^3 \times 96 \times 12$	2.5	234	540	$109 \times 1 = 109$
2896f2b709m0062m031	$28^3 \times 96 \times 12$	2.5	320	560	$1001 \times 7 = 7007$
2896f2b711m0124m031	$28^3\times96\times12$	2.5	446	578	$513 \times 3 = 1539$

New data set will allow us to address systematics more thoroughly

- two lattice spacings
- two volumes
-) two $m_{res}(L_5)$
- can now perform 2-loop chiral extrapolation









2-Mesons $\mathcal{I}=2~\pi\pi$ $\mathcal{I}=1~KK$ $\mathcal{I}=3/2~K\pi$



2-Mesons $\mathcal{I} = 2 \pi \pi$ $\mathcal{I} = 1 KK$ $\mathcal{I} = 3/2 K\pi$ f_K/f_π

Counter Terms

Chen, O'Connell, AWL PRD 75 (2007)

$$\begin{split} m_{\pi}a_{\pi\pi}^{I=2} &: \quad \frac{4m_{\pi}^{4}}{\pi f_{\pi}^{4}}L_{\pi\pi}^{I=2} \\ m_{K}a_{KK}^{I=1} &: \quad \frac{4m_{K}^{4}}{\pi f_{K}^{4}}L_{KK}^{I=1} \\ \mu_{K\pi}a_{K\pi}^{I=3/2} &: \quad \frac{\mu_{K\pi}^{2}}{4\pi f_{K}f_{\pi}} \left[\frac{32m_{K}m_{\pi}}{f_{K}f_{\pi}}L_{\pi\pi}^{I=2} - \frac{8(m_{K}-m_{\pi})^{2}}{f_{K}f_{\pi}}L_{5}\right] \\ \mu_{\pi K} &= \frac{m_{\pi}m_{K}}{m_{\pi}+m_{K}} \\ \frac{f_{K}}{f_{\pi}} &: \quad \frac{8(m_{K}^{2}-m_{\pi}^{2})}{f_{K}f_{\pi}}L_{5} \\ L_{\pi\pi}^{I=2} &= 2L_{1} + 2L_{2} + L_{3} - 2L_{4} - L_{5} + 2L_{6} + L_{8} \\ L_{KK}^{I=1} &= L_{\pi\pi}^{I=2} \end{split}$$
 Excellent testing ground for SU(3) breaking!

Aaron Torok's Ph.D. Thesis work is on meson-baryon scattering

See Silas Beane's plenary talk - Thursday I Iam

$n \geq 3$ mesons

multi-boson interaction energies in finite volume $\Delta E_n = \frac{4\pi \bar{a}}{ML^3} {}^n C_2 \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{\bar{a}}{\pi L}\right) \mathcal{I} + \left(\frac{\bar{a}}{\pi L}\right)^2 \left[\mathcal{I}^2 + (2n-5)\mathcal{J} \right] \right\}$ $-\left(\frac{\bar{a}}{-I}\right)^{3}\left[\mathcal{I}^{3}+(2n-7)\mathcal{I}J+(5n^{2}-41n+63)\mathcal{K}\right]$ $+ \left(\frac{\bar{a}}{\pi I}\right)^{4} \left[\mathcal{I}^{4} - 6\mathcal{I}^{2}\mathcal{J} + (4+n-n^{2})\mathcal{J}^{2} + 4(27-15n+n^{2})\mathcal{I}K\right]$ + $(14n^3 - 227n^2 + 919n - 1043)\mathcal{L} + 16(n-2)(\mathcal{T}_0 + n\mathcal{T}_1)]$ $+ {}^{n}C_{3}\frac{\hat{\eta}_{3}^{L}}{I_{6}} + {}^{n}C_{3}\frac{6\pi\bar{a}^{3}}{M^{3}I^{7}}(n+3)\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{O}(L^{-}8)$

 $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{T}_1$ known geometric constants

$$\bar{a} = a + \frac{2\pi}{L^3} a^3 r \qquad \qquad \hat{\eta}_3^L = \bar{\eta}_3^L \left[1 - \frac{6\bar{a}}{\pi L} \mathcal{I} \right] + \frac{72\pi\bar{a}^4 r}{ML} \mathcal{I}$$

S. Beane, W. Detmold and M.J. Savage PRD 76, 2007 W. Detmold and M.J. Savage PRD 77, 2008









2-Baryons



2-Baryons





modify boundary conditions to eliminate pion-zero mode restless pions - parity-orbifold boundary conditions still needs numerical implementation improved two-body interpolating operators

2-Baryons: High Statistics on Anisotropic Clover Lattices

we have switched our production to using the anisotropic clover lattices produced by R. Edwards et.al. clover propagators are ~10 times faster with EigCG inverter, we get an extra factor of ~7 A.Stathopoulos and K.Orginos arXiv:0707.0131

In the last year, we have performed ~284 light/strange quark propagator calculations on each of 1194 configurations on the $20^3 \times 128$ $m_{\pi} = 390$ MeV anisotropic gauge ensembles $a_s/a_t = 3.5$

 $284 \times 1194 \simeq 340,000 \text{ measurements!}$

This is an order of magnitude increase in our previous statistics

The principle goal was to perform a scaling study in the extraction of the hadron spectrum/ two-body energies as a function of NPLQCD arXiv:0903.2990 arXiv:0905.0466

NPLQCD 2-Baryons: High Stat. on Aniso Clover Lattices arXiv:0903.2990 arXiv:0905.0466 10-6 10-6 lambda proton 10-8 10-8 10-10 10-10 10-12 10⁻¹² 10-14 10-14 quantitatively useful 10-16 10-16 120 140 40 80 100 0 20 60 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 0 information from all 10-6 10-6 time slices sigma 10-8 10⁻⁸ xi 10-10 10-10 10-12 10-12 10-14 10-14

10-16

0

20

40

60

80

100

120

140

10-16

0

20

40

60

80

100

120

140





0.85

0.84

0.86

0.87

0.22811(28)(18)

0.24097(25)(3)

12 - 47

11 - 50

0.77

0.81

Σ

Ξ

0.22819(25)(07)

0.24112(21)(06)

8-64

7 - 64













2-Baryons: High Stat	on Aniso Clover Lattic	NPLQCD arXiv:0903.2990 arXiv:0905.0466		
computational cost -	292,500 sets			
-	cost per set	total cost		
gauge generation	13.7 cpu hours	4 M cpu hours		
(12000 trajectories ~ 1200 configure propagator calculation	20.5 cpu hours	6 M cpu hours		
block production	23.9 cpu hours	7 M cpu hours		
other	3.4 cpu hours	I M cpu hours		
I - 2- body contractions	3.4 cpu hours	I M cpu hours		
$\Xi^0 \Xi^0 n$ contractions	16 cpu hours	4.7 M cpu hours		
triton contractions	160 cpu hours	47 M cpu hours		
	(v	ve have not done this)		
for nuclear physics - gauge generation is not the dominant cost				

we need to figure out how to make contractions faster

CONCLUSIONS

two meson scattering (lengths) from lattice QCD is a precision science
requires chiral perturbation theory
provides stringent constraints/tests of chiral perturbation theory

why stop at two mesons?

can calculate 3-body interactions for maximal isospin pions/kaons
 3-body pion interaction is not consistent with zero
 3-body kaon interaction is consistent with zero
 can study the chemical potential of a gas of pions and kaons mixed pion-kaon interactions under way

same calculation which gives 1% uncertainty in $\mathcal{I} = 2 \pi \pi$ scattering, does not provide useful information for proton-proton (baryon-baryon) scattering - 30,000 propagator calculations!

we have switched to using anisotropic clover lattices/propagators
 this provides a factor of ~100 in statistics for same cpu hours
 we have performed a high statistics study 1- 2- and 3- body baryon correlation functions